

## Diversity of Human $\alpha\beta$ T Cell Receptors

Arstila *et al.* (1) estimated an average diversity of  $9 \times 10^5$  different  $\beta$  chains and  $4.5 \times 10^5$  different  $\alpha$  chains in the human naïve T cell repertoire. To calculate the total T cell repertoire diversity, the  $\beta$ -chain diversity was estimated within a certain variable (V) gene family,  $V_{\alpha}12^+$ , comprising 2.5% of the total  $\alpha$ -chain repertoire. Finding in this particular family an estimated total of  $6 \times 10^5$  different  $\beta$  chains (i.e., two-thirds of the total  $\beta$ -chain repertoire), Arstila *et al.* suggested that the total T cell receptor (TCR) diversity comprises at least  $(6 \times 10^5) \times 40 = 2.4 \times 10^7$  different  $\alpha\beta$  combinations (1). The authors acknowledge that this is only a lower bound, because the calculation assumes that the  $\beta$  chains that do bind at least one  $V_{\alpha}12$  chain bind only one of the  $4.5 \times 10^5$  different  $\alpha$  chains in the  $V_{\alpha}12^+$  family. If each  $\beta$  chain found within the  $V_{\alpha}12^+$  family were to bind an average of  $n$  different  $V_{\alpha}12$  chains instead, the total estimated TCR diversity would be  $n$ -fold higher than this lower bound.

Arstila *et al.* estimated an upper bound of  $10^8$  different  $\alpha\beta$  combinations (1). Pre-T cells having rearranged a  $\beta$  chain expand 1000-fold before the  $\alpha$  chain is rearranged, and only 10% of these cells leave the thymus to enter the mature repertoire. Thus, it was argued that each  $\beta$  chain can maximally pair with any of about 100 different  $\alpha$  chains.

This is indeed correct for all descendants of any particular pre-T cell having rearranged a particular  $\beta$  chain—but another pre-T cell rearranging the same  $\beta$  chain may bind to 100 different  $\alpha$  chains. Thus, to calculate the upper bound on TCR diversity, one has to consider the frequency with which identical  $\beta$ -chain rearrangements are expected. This frequency can be estimated from the turnover rate of the naïve T cell repertoire. In human adults, the total body production of naïve T cells has been estimated at about  $10^8$  per day (2), a figure obtained from recovery rates following T cell depletion (2) and from an estimated 0.1% turnover (3) in a pool of  $10^{11}$  naïve T lymphocytes. Assuming that most of this production is of thymic origin (4) and that more than 90% of the cells die before leaving the thymus (1), this implies a daily production of at least  $10^9$  pre-T cells. The 1000-fold expansion of the pre-T cells (1) before  $\alpha$ -chain rearrangement implies that approximately  $10^6$   $\beta$  chains should be made every day. Because this is close to the Arstila *et al.* estimate of total  $\beta$ -chain diversity, every  $\beta$  chain should be rearranged about every day.

Over the 1000-day expected life-span (2, 3) of the progeny of a pre-T cell expressing a

single  $\beta$  chain, therefore, 1000 recurrences of the same  $\beta$ -chain rearrangement might be expected. Hence, the upper bound for the total TCR diversity could easily be 1000-fold larger than calculated by Arstila *et al.* Such an upper bound, at  $10^{11}$ , would allow almost every T cell in the naïve repertoire to have a unique TCR. The true TCR diversity may be several fold lower, however, owing to factors such as proliferation after the  $\alpha$ -chain rearrangement and possible restrictions in  $\alpha\beta$ -chain pairing.

Can Keşmir

José A.M. Borghans

Rob J. de Boer

Department of Theoretical Biology

Utrecht University

Padualaan 8

3584 CH Utrecht, The Netherlands

E-mail: R.J.deBoer@bio.uu.nl

### References

1. T. P. Arstila *et al.*, *Science* **286**, 958 (1999).
2. D. R. Clark, R. J. De Boer, K. C. Wolthers, F. Miedema, *Adv. Immunol.* **73**, 301 (1999).
3. C. A. Michie, A. McLean, C. Alcock, P. C. Beverley, *Nature* **360**, 264 (1992).
4. B. F. Haynes *et al.*, *J. Clin. Invest.* **103**, 453 (1999).

17 February 2000; accepted 4 April 2000

*Response:* Keşmir *et al.* argue that although any developing TCR  $\beta$  chain will be paired at most with 100 different  $\alpha$  chains, the same  $\beta$  chain may appear repeatedly and garner other sets of 100  $\alpha$  chains, increasing the total  $\alpha\beta$  TCR diversity from the  $10^8$  we estimated (1). We studied the diversity of the human  $\alpha\beta$  TCR in the blood of healthy adult donors at a given moment, not over time. Also, we did not measure the upper limit of  $\alpha$ -to- $\beta$  pairing; our estimate was based on what is known of  $\alpha\beta$  T cell development and TCR rearrangement. Thus, the comment of Keşmir *et al.* actually goes beyond our data.

Because any expansion after  $\alpha$ -chain rearrangement will increase only clone size, not diversity, the argument of Keşmir *et al.* hinges on the assumption that the estimated total turnover of naïve T cells equals thymic production of pre-T cells. That assumption is incorrect, however, and ignores the well-documented role of post-developmental division in the maintenance of the naïve T cell population, especially in adults. Murine T cells may go through up to six cell cycles after  $\alpha$ -chain rearrangement even before emigrating from the thymus (2). Haynes *et al.*, cited by Keşmir *et al.*, specifically argued for “minimal contributions of the thymus to maintenance or reconstitution of the periph-

eral pool of T cells . . .” in humans [(3), p. 457], and showed that the presence or absence of thymic function and even the surgical removal of the thymus had no impact on the reconstitution of the T cell compartment, including the naïve  $CD4^+$  cells, in treated HIV-infected individuals. Naïve T cells, long after having completed TCR rearrangement, clearly have a considerable capacity for self-renewal.

The suggestion of Keşmir *et al.* can also be viewed as a question of clone size. If the size of the repertoire is  $10^8$  different TCRs, as we suggest, the average clone among  $10^{11}$  naïve T cells would consist of 1000 cells, the progeny of a single intrathymic  $\alpha$ -chain rearrangement after 10 cell cycles. These cycles should therefore be detectable in the naïve T cell population, and indeed this appears to be the case. Studying the disappearance of cells damaged by therapeutic irradiation, McLean and Michie (4) concluded that, on average, naïve T cells divide once every 3.5 years and die after 20 years, which suggests six post-thymic cell cycles in the life-span of an average naïve T cell. Other experimental approaches have suggested higher division rates. From age 25 to 70 years the mean telomere length in the naïve T cell population decreases from 9.5 kb to 8.0 kb, so an estimated loss of 50 to 100 base pairs (bp) per cell cycle translates to 7 to 13 divisions during the 20-year life-span of naïve cells (5). De Boer and Noest have argued that this estimate of telomere loss is too high; their estimate, 35 to 70 bp per cycle (6), would mean 10 to 19 cycles. At any given time the fraction of naïve T cells in cell cycle is 0.8% (7), which suggests a rate as high as one division per 125 days, or 60 cycles per life-span. The available data thus can easily accommodate 10 divisions producing the average naïve clone.

Studies on the frequency of antigen-specific T cell precursors provide an independent line of evidence that points to a diversity close to what we proposed. A conservative estimate of the frequency of such precursors in the naïve repertoire would be one per million; some studies have reported significantly higher frequencies (8, 9). Thus, a total repertoire of  $10^8$  TCRs would predict an epitope-specific response to consist of 100 clones, while Keşmir *et al.*'s repertoire of  $10^{11}$  TCRs predicts a composition of 100,000 responding clones. The existing literature is more compatible with our prediction (10–14). Thus, we submit that the phenomenon that Keşmir *et al.* postulate, although in principle possible, has little impact on the total diversity.

T. Petteri Arstila

Department of Virology

Haartman Institute

University of Helsinki

00014 Helsinki, Finland

E-mail: petteri.arstila@helsinki.fi

## TECHNICAL COMMENT

*Armanda Casrouge*

*Véronique Baron*

*Jos Even*

*Jean Kanellopoulos*

*Philippe Kourilsky*

*Unité de Biologie Moléculaire du Gène*

*INSERM U277*

*Institut Pasteur*

*Paris Cedex 15, France*

### References

1. T. P. Arstila *et al.*, *Science* **286**, 958 (1999).
2. K. J. Hare, R. W. Wilkinson, E. J. Jenkinson, G. Anderson, *J. Immunol.* **160**, 3666 (1998).
3. B. F. Haynes *et al.*, *J. Clin. Invest.* **103**, 453 (1999).
4. A. R. McLean and C. A. Michie, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92**, 3707 (1995).
5. N. P. Weng, B. L. Levine, C. H. June, R. J. Hodes, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **92**, 11091 (1995).
6. R. J. De Boer and A. J. Noest, *J. Immunol.* **160**, 5832 (1998).
7. N. Sachsenberg *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* **187**, 1295 (1998).
8. A. Hoffenbach *et al.*, *J. Immunol.* **142**, 452 (1989).
9. M. Lucchiari *et al.*, *Int. Immunol.* **6**, 1739 (1994).
10. S. A. Kalams *et al.*, *J. Exp. Med.* **179**, 1261 (1994).
11. M. F. C. Callan *et al.*, *Nat. Med.* **2**, 906 (1996).
12. Y. N. Naumov, K. T. Hogan, E. N. Naumova, J. T. Pagel, J. Gorski, *J. Immunol.* **160**, 2842 (1998).
13. P. Bousso and P. Kourilsky, *Semin. Immunol.* **11**, 423 (1999).
14. M. P. Weekes, M. R. Wills, K. Mynard, A. J. Carmichael, J. G. P. Sissons, *J. Virol.* **73**, 2099 (1999).

15 March 2000; accepted 4 April 2000